Mario Gervasi

Prevention of Environmental Harm under General International Law

An Alternative Reconstruction





Table of Contents

Abl	previations	XV
Intr	roduction	
I.	New Momentum for the Principles of International Environmental	
	Law: The Ambition of Adopting a Global Pact for the Environment	1
	Object and Purpose of the Book	5
III. IV.	Some Terminological Issues Research Plan	8 12
1 ٧.	Research Fran	12
	PART' I	
	Weaknesses in the Construction of Prevention	
	of Environmental Harm as a Customary Rule	
Crr	A DEFEND 1	
	APTER 1	
	rginalisation of State Practice	
1.1.	The Common View That There Is a Customary Rule Imposing an	
	Obligation to Prevent Transboundary Environmental Harm	19
	1.1.1. Marginalisation of State Practice within the Arguments in Favour of the Existence of the Rule	19
	1.1.2. The Background: A Paradoxical Disregard for the Status of Pre-	17
	vention of Environmental Harm	23
	1.1.3and the Initial Focus on State Liability	26
1.2.	Inconsistencies within State Practice	33
	1.2.1. Continuing Degradation of the Environment	33
	1.2.2. Non-Application of the Alleged Customary Rule on Prevention in Cases of Environmental Harm	25
	1.2.3. The Torrey Canyon Case	35 36
	1.2.4and Other Incidents Involving Oil Tankers	41
	1.2.5. The Ixtoc I Case	44
	1.2.6. The Chernobyl Case	46
	1.2.7. The Sandoz Case	49
	1.2.8. The Deepwater Horizon Case	51
1.2	1.2.9. The Fukushima Daiichi Case	54
1.3.	Doubts concerning the Customary Nature of Prevention of Trans-	56
	boundary Environmental Harm 1.3.1. The Weight of State Practice in the Arguments against the Exis-	36
	tence of a Customary Rule on Prevention	56
© F.c	lizioni Scientifiche Italiane ISBN 978-88-495	5-4632-3

X

	 1.3.2. The Issue of Inconsistencies in State Practice in the Light of the Methodological Problem of How to Determine Customary International Law 1.3.3and Their Relevance Despite the Ongoing Difficulties in Definitively Solving the Problem 	60 64	
Сн	APTER 2		
Con	Connection with Non-Environmental Obligations		
	The Issue of Non-Environmental Obligations Requiring the Preven-		
	tion of Transboundary Environmental Harm	73	
2.2.	Territorial Sovereignty and Prevention of Transboundary Harm to the	7.5	
	Environment 2.2.1. A Common Misconstruction: The No-Harm Rule as the Origin	75	
	of Prevention of Transboundary Environmental Harm	75	
	2.2.1.a.The <i>Trail Smelter</i> Case	81	
	2.2.1.b. The Ensuing Evidential Value of Non-Environmen-		
	tal Practice: <i>Island of Palmas</i> , Corfu Channel and Lac Lanoux	87	
	2.2.2. Objective Theory of Sovereignty and Principles of Law: Sic	0/	
	Utere Tuo Ut Alienum Non Laedas, Good Neighbourliness,		
	Abuse of Rights	94	
2.3.	Protection of the Environment Per Se as a Collective Interest	99	
	2.3.1. Critique of the Connection between the No-Harm Rule and Prevention of Transboundary Environmental Harm	99	
	2.3.2. Protection of the Environment Per Se as the Interest Under-	,,	
	pinning Prevention of Transboundary Environmental Harm in		
	Principle 21	104	
	2.3.3. Further Evidence of the Collective Nature of the Interest Un-		
	derpinning Prevention of Transboundary Environmental Harm in Principle 21	110	
	2.3.4. Reconceptualising Prevention of Transboundary Environmental	110	
	Harm	119	
2.4.	The Interaction between Prevention of Environmental Harm and		
	Non-Environmental Obligations	121	
	2.4.1. Territorial Sovereignty in Environmental Cases concerning Transboundary Harm: The Need to Keep Protection of the En-		
	vironment Distinct	121	
	2.4.1.a. Practice Following the Stockholm Declaration: The Nu-		
	clear Tests Case and the Cosmos 954 Incident	124	
	2.4.1.b.Recent Practice: The Aerial Herbicide Spraying and Construction of a Road in Costa Rica Cases	130	
	2.4.1.c. Pollution of International Watercourses	135	
	2.4.2. Pre-existing Non-Environmental Obligations Other than the	-50	
	No-Harm Rule, with Particular Regard to Freedom of the		
	High Seas	143	

Table of Contents

Table of Contents XI

PART II

Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle of International Law

CHA	DETE	. 2
U.HA	PTER	? 1

Ger	neral Principles of International Law and Protection of the Environment	
3.1.	Preliminary Remarks on General Principles in the International Legal System 3.1.1. Looking at General Principles for an Alternative Classification of Prevention of Environmental Harm	151 151
	3.1.2. General Principles Recognised <i>in Foro Domestico</i> under Article 38 of the ICJ Statute: Not an Obstacle to General Principles of International Law	154
	3.1.3. Inconclusiveness of the Supposed Paucity of Practice to Exclude General Principles of International Law3.1.4. Inherence of General Principles in Addition to Rules in Any Le-	159
	gal System	162
3.2.	Overview of the Features of General Principles of International Law 3.2.1. General Principles of International Law in the Light of the Functional Distinction between Principles and Rules	165 165
	3.2.2. Features of the Inspiring Function of General Principles of International Law	165
3.3.	1	
	ronmental Harm as a General Principle of International Law 3.3.1. Prima Facie Suitability of Prevention of Environmental Harm to Qualify as a General Principle: The Programmatic Intent of the 1972 Stockholm Conference	175 175
	3.3.2. Identification by Induction from Existing Rules: Distinguishing between General Principles and Mere Policies or Soft Law Recommendations	182
	3.3.3. Verification of the Actual Operation of Prevention as a Principle: Distinguishing between General Principles and Customary Rules	187
Сн	APTER 4	
Infe	erence of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle from the Rules Embodying It	
4.1.	Introductory Observations on How to Find Evidence of the Guiding	4.0.1
4.2.	Function of Prevention of Environmental Harm Prevention of Environmental Harm in Treaties 4.2.1. Consideration of Prevention of Environmental Harm in Pream-	195 198
	bles to Treaties	198
	4.2.1.a. Preambles to Treaties and Inspiring Function 4.2.1.b. Restatement of the Content of Principle 21	198 204

© Edizioni Scientifiche Italiane

ISBN 978-88-495-4632-3

XII Table of Contents

	4.2.1.c. Reference to Principle 21 and Restatement of Its Content	208
	4.2.2. Prevention of Environmental Harm in Treaty Provisions Setting	212
	Out 'Principles' 4.2.2.a. Statement of 'Principles' in Treaties and Inspiring Function	212
	4.2.2.b. Restatement of Principle 21 as a 'Principle'	215
	4.2.2.c. Reference to the 'Principle of Prevention'	217
43	Prevention of Environmental Harm in EU Law	221
т.Э.	4.3.1. The Environmental Policy of the European Union	221
	4.3.2. Before the Single European Act: Prevention of Environmental	221
	Harm in Programmes of Action on the Environment	224
	4.3.3. Following the Single European Act: Preventive Action as a	224
	Foundational Principle of the EU Environmental Policy	227
1.1	Prevention of Environmental Harm within the Binding Acts of Inter-	221
4.4.		234
	national Organisations	234
	4.4.1. Structural Hindrances to the Adoption of Legally Binding Acts	
	by International Organisations in the Field of Protection of the	224
	Environment	234
	4.4.2. The Case of the UN Security Council: An Unavoidable Limited	227
	Role for Prevention of Environmental Harm	236
	4.4.2.a. Iraq's Liability for Environmental Damage during the	227
	First Gulf War	237 239
	4.4.2.b. Wildlife Poaching and Trafficking as a 'Fuelling Factor'	239
	4.4.2.c. Climate Change as a 'Threat Multiplier'	241
CTT	APTER 5	
Сн	APTER 5	
	eration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle	
Оре	eration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law	245
	eration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases	245
Оре	eration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases 5.1.1. Mainly Incidental Relevance of General International Law for	
Оре	eration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases 5.1.1. Mainly Incidental Relevance of General International Law for Environmental Litigation	245
<i>Оре</i> 5.1.	eration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases 5.1.1. Mainly Incidental Relevance of General International Law for Environmental Litigation 5.1.2 and Its Consequences: Terminological and Interpretative Issues	245 248
<i>Оре</i> 5.1.	eration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases 5.1.1. Mainly Incidental Relevance of General International Law for Environmental Litigation 5.1.2 and Its Consequences: Terminological and Interpretative Issues The Case Law of the International Court of Justice Revisited	245
<i>Оре</i> 5.1.	eration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases 5.1.1. Mainly Incidental Relevance of General International Law for Environmental Litigation 5.1.2 and Its Consequences: Terminological and Interpretative Issues The Case Law of the International Court of Justice Revisited 5.2.1. At the Outset: The Operation of Prevention of Environmental	245 248 252
<i>Оре</i> 5.1.	eration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases 5.1.1. Mainly Incidental Relevance of General International Law for Environmental Litigation 5.1.2 and Its Consequences: Terminological and Interpretative Issues The Case Law of the International Court of Justice Revisited 5.2.1. At the Outset: The Operation of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle Based on a Community Interest	245 248
<i>Оре</i> 5.1.	eration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases 5.1.1. Mainly Incidental Relevance of General International Law for Environmental Litigation 5.1.2 and Its Consequences: Terminological and Interpretative Issues The Case Law of the International Court of Justice Revisited 5.2.1. At the Outset: The Operation of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle Based on a Community Interest 5.2.1.a. The 1996 Advisory Opinion on the Legality of the	245 248 252 255
<i>Оре</i> 5.1.	eration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases 5.1.1. Mainly Incidental Relevance of General International Law for Environmental Litigation 5.1.2 and Its Consequences: Terminological and Interpretative Issues The Case Law of the International Court of Justice Revisited 5.2.1. At the Outset: The Operation of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle Based on a Community Interest 5.2.1.a. The 1996 Advisory Opinion on the Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons	245 248 252 255 255
<i>Оре</i> 5.1.	eration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases 5.1.1. Mainly Incidental Relevance of General International Law for Environmental Litigation 5.1.2 and Its Consequences: Terminological and Interpretative Issues The Case Law of the International Court of Justice Revisited 5.2.1. At the Outset: The Operation of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle Based on a Community Interest 5.2.1.a. The 1996 Advisory Opinion on the Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons 5.2.1.b. The 1997 Judgment in the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Case	245 248 252 255
<i>Оре</i> 5.1.	eration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases 5.1.1. Mainly Incidental Relevance of General International Law for Environmental Litigation 5.1.2 and Its Consequences: Terminological and Interpretative Issues The Case Law of the International Court of Justice Revisited 5.2.1. At the Outset: The Operation of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle Based on a Community Interest 5.2.1.a. The 1996 Advisory Opinion on the Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons 5.2.1.b. The 1997 Judgment in the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Case 5.2.2. The Subsequent Change of Approach and Its Contingency: The	245 248 252 255 255
<i>Оре</i> 5.1.	cration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases 5.1.1. Mainly Incidental Relevance of General International Law for Environmental Litigation 5.1.2 and Its Consequences: Terminological and Interpretative Issues The Case Law of the International Court of Justice Revisited 5.2.1. At the Outset: The Operation of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle Based on a Community Interest 5.2.1.a. The 1996 Advisory Opinion on the Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons 5.2.1.b. The 1997 Judgment in the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Case 5.2.2. The Subsequent Change of Approach and Its Contingency: The Assertion of the Customary Status of Prevention of Environ-	245 248 252 255 255 263
<i>Оре</i> 5.1.	eration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases 5.1.1. Mainly Incidental Relevance of General International Law for Environmental Litigation 5.1.2 and Its Consequences: Terminological and Interpretative Issues The Case Law of the International Court of Justice Revisited 5.2.1. At the Outset: The Operation of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle Based on a Community Interest 5.2.1.a. The 1996 Advisory Opinion on the Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons 5.2.1.b. The 1997 Judgment in the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Case 5.2.2. The Subsequent Change of Approach and Its Contingency: The Assertion of the Customary Status of Prevention of Environmental Harm in Context	245 248 252 255 255 263
<i>Оре</i> 5.1.	eration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases 5.1.1. Mainly Incidental Relevance of General International Law for Environmental Litigation 5.1.2 and Its Consequences: Terminological and Interpretative Issues The Case Law of the International Court of Justice Revisited 5.2.1. At the Outset: The Operation of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle Based on a Community Interest 5.2.1.a. The 1996 Advisory Opinion on the Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons 5.2.1.b. The 1997 Judgment in the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Case 5.2.2. The Subsequent Change of Approach and Its Contingency: The Assertion of the Customary Status of Prevention of Environmental Harm in Context 5.2.2.a. The 2010 Judgment in the Pulp Mills Case	245 248 252 255 255 263
<i>Оре</i> 5.1.	eration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases 5.1.1. Mainly Incidental Relevance of General International Law for Environmental Litigation 5.1.2 and Its Consequences: Terminological and Interpretative Issues The Case Law of the International Court of Justice Revisited 5.2.1. At the Outset: The Operation of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle Based on a Community Interest 5.2.1.a. The 1996 Advisory Opinion on the Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons 5.2.1.b. The 1997 Judgment in the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Case 5.2.2. The Subsequent Change of Approach and Its Contingency: The Assertion of the Customary Status of Prevention of Environmental Harm in Context 5.2.2.a. The 2010 Judgment in the Pulp Mills Case 5.2.2.b. The 2015 Judgment in the Certain Activities and Con-	245 248 252 255 255 263 270 270
Ope 5.1. 5.2.	eration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases 5.1.1. Mainly Incidental Relevance of General International Law for Environmental Litigation 5.1.2 and Its Consequences: Terminological and Interpretative Issues The Case Law of the International Court of Justice Revisited 5.2.1. At the Outset: The Operation of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle Based on a Community Interest 5.2.1.a. The 1996 Advisory Opinion on the Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons 5.2.1.b. The 1997 Judgment in the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Case 5.2.2. The Subsequent Change of Approach and Its Contingency: The Assertion of the Customary Status of Prevention of Environmental Harm in Context 5.2.2.a. The 2010 Judgment in the Pulp Mills Case 5.2.2.b. The 2015 Judgment in the Certain Activities and Construction of a Road Joined Cases	245 248 252 255 255 263
Ope 5.1. 5.2.	cration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases 5.1.1. Mainly Incidental Relevance of General International Law for Environmental Litigation 5.1.2 and Its Consequences: Terminological and Interpretative Issues The Case Law of the International Court of Justice Revisited 5.2.1. At the Outset: The Operation of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle Based on a Community Interest 5.2.1.a. The 1996 Advisory Opinion on the Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons 5.2.1.b. The 1997 Judgment in the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Case 5.2.2. The Subsequent Change of Approach and Its Contingency: The Assertion of the Customary Status of Prevention of Environmental Harm in Context 5.2.2.a. The 2010 Judgment in the Pulp Mills Case 5.2.2.b. The 2015 Judgment in the Certain Activities and Construction of a Road Joined Cases The Operation of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General	245 248 252 255 255 263 270 270
Ope 5.1. 5.2.	cration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases 5.1.1. Mainly Incidental Relevance of General International Law for Environmental Litigation 5.1.2 and Its Consequences: Terminological and Interpretative Issues The Case Law of the International Court of Justice Revisited 5.2.1. At the Outset: The Operation of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle Based on a Community Interest 5.2.1.a. The 1996 Advisory Opinion on the Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons 5.2.1.b. The 1997 Judgment in the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Case 5.2.2. The Subsequent Change of Approach and Its Contingency: The Assertion of the Customary Status of Prevention of Environmental Harm in Context 5.2.2.a. The 2010 Judgment in the Pulp Mills Case 5.2.2.b. The 2015 Judgment in the Certain Activities and Construction of a Road Joined Cases The Operation of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle in Arbitral Proceedings	245 248 252 255 255 263 270 270
Ope 5.1. 5.2.	cration of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases 5.1.1. Mainly Incidental Relevance of General International Law for Environmental Litigation 5.1.2 and Its Consequences: Terminological and Interpretative Issues The Case Law of the International Court of Justice Revisited 5.2.1. At the Outset: The Operation of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle Based on a Community Interest 5.2.1.a. The 1996 Advisory Opinion on the Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons 5.2.1.b. The 1997 Judgment in the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Case 5.2.2. The Subsequent Change of Approach and Its Contingency: The Assertion of the Customary Status of Prevention of Environmental Harm in Context 5.2.2.a. The 2010 Judgment in the Pulp Mills Case 5.2.2.b. The 2015 Judgment in the Certain Activities and Construction of a Road Joined Cases The Operation of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General	245 248 252 255 255 263 270 270

Tab	le of Contents	XIII
	5.3.2. Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle in the South China Sea Arbitration	290
	PART III	
The	Reconstruction of Prevention as a Principle Put to the Test	
Сн	apter 6	
The	e 'Content' of Prevention of Environmental Harm: Trends in	
1 // 0	Customary Law	
6.1.		
	Harm as a General Principle of International Law	299
	6.1.1. Resistance to the Weaknesses Undermining the Construction of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Customary Rule	301
	6.1.2. New Perspectives on Debated Problems	302
6.2.	Prevention through Procedural Obligations: Activities Likely to	205
	Cause Significant Environmental Harm 6.2.1. Procedural Obligations between Prevention and Cooperation:	305
	Notification, Information and Consultation	305
	6.2.2. The Obligation to Conduct an Environmental Impact Assess-	207
	ment 6.2.3and Its Relationship with the Principle of Prevention of Envi-	307
	ronmental Harm	314
6.3.	Prevention as Regulation of Hazardous Activities and Limitation of Environmental Harm	321
	6.3.1. Creation of Treaty Regimes Providing for Specific Rules and	321
	Standards	322
	6.3.2. Outside Detailed Treaty Regimes: Trends Relating to the Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter at Sea	330
	6.3.3and, in Particular, the Dumping of Radioactive Wastes	336
	6.3.4. Creation of Civil Liability Regimes	340
	6.3.5and Its Consequences on Prevention of Environmental Harm: Trends Relating to Prompt and Adequate Compensation	344
6.4.	Prevention as Prohibition: Environmental Harm Detached from the	511
	Economic Exploitation of Natural Resources	351
	6.4.1. Prohibition on Destruction of the Environment as a Weapon 6.4.2and Other Trends concerning Prevention of Environmental	351
	Harm during Armed Conflict	356
	6.4.3. Trends towards the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapon Tests with a	27.1
	Significant Environmental Impact	364
Сн	APTER 7	
Pro	tection of the Environment and Continued Economic Growth	
_ , 0	in the Age of Technology	
7.1.		
	Sustainable Development	375
© Ec	dizioni Scientifiche Italiane ISBN 978-88-495	-4632-3

XIV

	7.1.1. The Integration of Protection of the Environment and Eco-	
	nomic Development as a Key Component of Sustainable De-	
	velopment	375
	7.1.2. The Paradigm Shift from Prevention of Environmental Harm to	
	Sustainable Development	380
7.2.	Continued Economic Growth and the Paradox of Protection of the	
	Environment: The Promise of Technology	387
7.3.	Beyond the Technological Illusion: 'Un-sustainable' Development	
	and the De-growth Solution	393
7.4.	The Potential of the Principle of Prevention of Environmental Harm	
	in a De-growth Scenario	397
Bib	liography	401

Table of Contents